Legislative Fiscal Analyst:	Agency Response Form	Version 2009 2.1		
Estimated Fiscal Impact of Bill # SB 77		Date Feb. 9, 09		
Short Title Grants for Licensed Teachers Amendments				
Contact	Emily Eyre Title	Research Consultant		
Agency: State Office of Education	Phone	538-7671, cell: 635-9666		
Short Form Use only when there is no appropriation needed for state agencies, and no fiscal impact on state revenues, local governments, businesses, or individuals. If the bill looks like it should have a fiscal note, explain why it does not. For example, a bill might put into code something that is already current practice. Attachments welcome.	State agencies will not require an appropriation to There is no fiscal impact on local governments. x There is no fiscal impact on businesses There is no fiscal impact on individuals. x The bill will not affect revenues. Explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.	implement the bill.		
A. What parts of the bill of Cite specific sections or line numbers.	Lines 51-53 directs the State Board of Education to give grants to school districts and charter schools. Line 54 requires school districts and charter schools to provide matching funds to participate in the grant appropriation.			
B. Which program gets the appropriation? Description				
	tions, calculations & what are we buying?			
Assume that a legislator calls you in to explain how you came up with your fiscal impact and these are the only notes you get to take with you. List all costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts. Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what \$50,000 will buy.	In the previous three years, the State Board of Edu \$458,065 in grants to teachers. \$144,171 was use Qualified standards. \$313,893 was used to fund for certification programs. Grants for American Board certification is included in this current bill, but was past. The balance remaining from the previous greliminated during the special session of the Legisl order to maintain at least the current demand for should be an appropriation of \$153,000 (\$458,000). The demand for American Board Distinguished Teunknown.	acation has funded ed to fund tests for Highly or National Board d Distinguished Teacher as not included in years eant program was ature in September. In the grant program, there 0 divided by 3 years).		
Attachments encouraged.				

Fiscal Impact Table	Current Budget Year FY 2009	Coming Budget Year FY 2010	Future Budget Year FY 2011	
D. If this is a revenue bill, show impacts here. (Select funds from drop-down menu.)				
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	
E. Show Costs to Implement the Bill by Fund (Select funds from drop-down menu.)				
		\$153,000	\$153,000	
Total	\$0	\$153,000	\$153,000	
F. Show Costs to Implement	the Bill by Expense Catego	ory.		
Personal Services Travel Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay		153,000	153,000	
Other/Pass Thru Total	\$0	\$153.000	\$153.000	
G. How will the bill impa	ct local governments?			
Your estimate of the bill's impact on local governments.	School districts and charter order to receive the grants.	•	vide matching funds in	
Attachments welcome.				
H. How will the bill impact businesses?				
Your estimate of the bill's impact on businesses.				
Attachments welcome.				
I. How will the bill impac	t individuals?			
Your estimate of the bill's impact on individuals.	Individuals seeking to obtain National Board certification, American Board Distinguished Teacher certification, or to take tests to meet federal highly qualified teacher standards could have their out-of-pocket expenses			
Attachments welcome.	minimized.			
This is a draft fiscal note response for LFA 11.20.08	om the Utah State Office of Edu		evised in the future. ,2009 Fiscal Note Worksheet V2.1	